

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

CNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/626,252	07/23/2003		Lawrence E. Gibson	200208191-1	1022
22879	7590	04/29/2005		EXAM	INER
HEWLETT	PACKA	RD COMPANY	RAYFORD, SANDRA M		
		4 E. HARMONY RO OPERTY ADMINIS	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
FORT COLLINS. CO 80527-2400				1772	

DATE MAILED: 04/29/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·		$\mathcal{U}$
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/626,252	GIBSON ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Sandra M. Nolan-Rayford	1772
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS a, cause the application to become ABAND	be timely filed  ) days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status	,	
<ol> <li>Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>24 Ja</u></li> <li>This action is <b>FINAL</b>. 2b) This</li> <li>Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under E</li> </ol>	action is non-final.  nce except for formal matters,	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-19 and 21-36 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-19 and 21-36 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.	······································
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.	·
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc	epted or b)☐ objected to by t	he Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		•
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Of	mice Action or form PTO-192.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority document</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority document</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document</li> <li>application from the International Bureau</li> </ul>	s have been received. s have been received in Appli rity documents have been rec	cation No
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list		eived.
		•
Attachment(s)		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	_, _ , _ , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	mary (PTO-413) ail Date nal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/626,252

Art Unit: 1772

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### **Claims**

1. Pursuant to entry of the amendment in the 24 January 2005 response "("the last response"), claims 1-19 and 21-36 are pending.

# Rejections Withdrawn

- 2. The 35 USC 112 rejection of claims 1-36 as stated in section 4 of the 21 October 2004 office action ("the last office action"), is withdrawn in view of applicants' arguments in the last response.
- 3. The 35 USC 102 rejection of claims 1-3, 8-11, 14 and 33-35 as anticipated by JP 06183000A, as set out in section 6 of the last office action, is withdrawn in view of the amendments in the last response.
- 4. The 35 USC 103 rejection of claims 4-7 as unpatentable over the '000A abstract, as expressed in section 9 of the last office action, is withdrawn in view of the amendments in the last response.
- 5. The 35 USC 103 rejection of claims 18-32 as unpatentable over the '000A abstract, as expressed in section 10 of the last office action, is withdrawn in view of the amendments in the last response.

#### **New Rejections**

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

Application/Control Number: 10/626,252

Art Unit: 1772

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 7. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
  - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
  - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
  - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
  - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 8. Claims 1-19 and 21-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the '000A abstract in view of Ishida et al (US 5,229,438).

The '000A abstract is discussed in the last office action.

It fails to teach isophorone diamine or amine mixtures containing it.

Ishida teaches isophorone diamine (col. 4, lines 55-59) and amine mixtures (col. 5, lines 1-3) in two-part adhesives (title). Applicants' epoxy resins are taught at col. 2, line 61 through col. 3, line 27. Other resins are taught at col. 3, lines 38-50. Conventional additives, such as silanes and thixotropes, are discussed at col. 5, lines 20-59. The Ishida adhesives are fast curing (col. 1, line 7).

The references are analogous because both deal with epoxy adhesives.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to employ the adhesives of Ishida in the adhesives for ink-jets, such as those of the '000A abstract in order to insure fast reaction.

It is deemed desirable to use adhesives that cure quickly in order to help insure the integrity of products made therewith. Application/Control Number: 10/626,252

Art Unit: 1772

The limitations recited in claims 2-19 and 34-36 deal with intended use and do not distinguish the claimed adhesive-bound cartridges from those suggested by the combined references.

# Response to Arguments

9. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-19 and 21-36 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

### Final Rejection

- 10. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).
- 11. A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

#### Conclusion

Application/Control Number: 10/626,252

Art Unit: 1772

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be addressed to Sandra M. Nolan-Rayford, at telephone number 571/272-1495. She can be reached Monday through Thursday, from 6:30 am to 4:00 pm, ET.

If attempts to reach the examiner are unsuccessful, contact her supervisor, Harold Pyon, at 571/272-1498.

The fax number for patent application documents is 703/872-9306.

S. M. Nolan-Rayford S. M. Nolan-Rayford

Primary Examiner
Technology Center 1700

10626252(20050427)